



Forced to communicate: Integration of mechanical and biochemical signaling in morphogenesis

Abigail Kindberg^{1,2,3,4,5}, Jimmy K. Hu^{6,7} and Jeffrey O. Bush^{1,2,3,4,5}

Abstract

Morphogenesis is a physical process that requires the generation of mechanical forces to achieve dynamic changes in cell position, tissue shape, and size as well as biochemical signals to coordinate these events. Mechanical forces are also used by the embryo to transmit detailed information across space and detected by target cells, leading to downstream changes in cellular properties and behaviors. Indeed, forces provide signaling information of complementary quality that can both synergize and diversify the functional outputs of biochemical signaling. Here, we discuss recent findings that reveal how mechanical signaling and biochemical signaling are integrated during morphogenesis and the possible context-specific advantages conferred by the interactions between these signaling mechanisms.

Addresses

¹ Program in Craniofacial Biology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

² Department of Cell and Tissue Biology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

³ Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁴ Eli and Edythe Broad Center of Regeneration Medicine and Stem Cell Research, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁵ Biomedical Sciences Graduate Program, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁶ School of Dentistry, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

⁷ Molecular Biology Institute, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Corresponding authors: Bush, Jeffrey O (Jeffrey.bush@ucsf.edu); Hu, Jimmy K. (jyku@g.ucla.edu)

Current Opinion in Cell Biology 2020, 66:59–68

This review comes from a themed issue on **Cell Dynamics**

Edited by **Diane Barber and Xavier Trepat**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2020.05.004>

0955-0674/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords


Morphogenesis, Force, Signaling, Actomyosin, Tension, Yap, Taz, Eph, Ephrin, PIEZO, Development.

Introduction: force generation and detection in morphogenesis

Morphogenesis occurs across a range of timescales and physical space, requiring the coordinated interplay of a host of different cell behaviors. Although ligand-based biochemical signaling elicits cellular responses during tissue morphogenesis, the mechanical forces generated by cells downstream of this signaling ultimately mold tissues. However, these forces can also be detected by cells, leading to biochemical and mechanical signal propagation within and between cells, which not only regulates cellular behavior and fate changes but also coordinates and diversifies the functional outputs of biochemical signaling to propel morphogenesis. Here, we focus on this particular form of mechanical signaling in development and examine *in vivo* examples in which forces are used by cells to transmit information to other cells with unique advantages.

Cells and tissues can generate and transmit forces by several general mechanisms, but all of these begin with the cytoskeleton [1]. Actin polymerization generates pushing force during the establishment of cellular protrusions, and tension is generated when non-muscle myosin II (MyoII) binds to filamentous actin (F-actin) and hydrolyzes ATP to convert chemical energy into mechanical movement [2]. Forces generated by actomyosin contractility are transmitted across tissues through adhesion molecules that allow individual cellular forces to be translated into global changes in tissue shape. Adherens junctions (AJs) vary in their size and composition, but are mediated by classic cadherins that connect to the actin cytoskeleton intracellularly through binding to β -catenin, which in turn binds α -catenin (Figure 1a). Under contractility-generated tension, α -catenin undergoes conformational changes to recruit vinculin, which connects to F-actin [3], resulting in maturation and growth of the AJ and recruitment of additional F-actin (Figure 1a') [3–5]. This mechanosensory function allows the AJ to react dynamically to other cells and actomyosin contractility while mechanically coupling the intracellularly generated force with the surrounding cells. Although most of the force generation for morphogenesis has been thought to be derived from the actomyosin cytoskeleton, microtubules can also generate forces within cells, and this is

Figure 1



Mechanisms of mechanosensation. Mechanical forces are sensed and transmitted across cells and tissues through a variety of mechanisms. (a) Cadherins, bound intracellularly to β -catenin, which in turn binds α -catenin, make up adherens junctions. (a') Under tension, generated by actomyosin contractility, α -catenin recruits the actin-binding protein vinculin. The mechanosensory function of adherens junctions allows the mechanical coupling of adjacent cells. (b) Focal adhesions, composed of integrins, couple cells to the ECM, providing cells with both mechanical and biochemical information. (b') Under tension, a series of intracellular adaptors are recruited to focal adhesions, including FAK, SRC, talin, and vinculin, linking the focal adhesions to actomyosin. (c) The Hippo/YAP/TAZ pathway is a critical mechanosensitive signaling pathway. When there is low mechanical input, MST1/2 kinases bind SAV1, phosphorylating LATS1/2 kinases, which in turn phosphorylate YAP/TAZ, preventing them from entering the nucleus. (c') When there is high mechanical input, Hippo signaling is inactive, allowing YAP/TAZ to translocate to the nucleus, where they bind to TEAD transcription factors, driving target gene expression. (d) Dedicated mechanosensors, such as PIEZO proteins, can also detect forces within a tissue. (d') When sensing crowding forces, PIEZO channels undergo a conformational change, enabling a calcium influx into the cell to impact downstream signaling. ECM, extracellular matrix; FAK, focal adhesion kinase.

coordinated by cell signaling to regulate cell shape and epithelial morphogenesis in *Drosophila* [6–8].

In addition to cell–cell adhesion, cell–extracellular matrix (ECM) adhesion is critical to convey or buffer the transmission of forces across tissues during morphogenesis [9,10]. Physical coupling of cells to the ECM at focal adhesions (FAs) is critical for cellular reorganization and movement, but the ECM is also an instructional biochemical signal received through integrin receptors to modulate downstream signaling cascades and control a variety of cell behaviors during development [9]. FAs are large multiprotein signaling hubs that include heterodimeric integrin receptors, which recruit intracellular adaptors including talin and vinculin, linking the FA to F-actin, and focal adhesion kinase and SRC kinase, which can activate numerous downstream pathways (Figure 1b, b'). Similar to α -catenin in AJs, physical force exerted by actomyosin contractility mechanically induces a conformational change in talin, allowing increased actin binding and greater FA stability [11]. The strength of cell–ECM adhesions is also modulated by the stiffness of the ECM, wherein stiffer substrates allow cells to adhere

more strongly and exert higher tension. Integrin–ECM binding therefore allows the detection of distinct types and compositions of ECM and organizes the formation of signaling complexes with the actomyosin cytoskeleton [10]. In this way, cells effectively convert mechanical information into biochemical signals, and through changes in actomyosin contractility, they can reciprocally remodel the ECM, resulting in a host of cell-level and tissue-level changes; this form of mechanosensation has been reviewed elsewhere including by Dzamba and DeSimone [9] and Muncie and Weaver [12], and this will not be the focus of this review, which will instead focus on the ways cells use force to communicate with other cells. In addition to providing a biochemical ligand for physical or chemical signaling into cells, the ECM may generate forces to signal to cells; for example, hydration of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan in the vegetal epithelium of sea urchins results in differential expansion and bending of a bilayered cell sheet [13].

One important consequence of mechanically sensitive signaling at FAs and AJs is the biochemical activation of Yes-associated protein (YAP) and WW Domain

Containing Transcription Regulator 1 (TAZ), leading to changes in transcription that impact cell proliferation and differentiation. YAP and TAZ, initially identified as Yorkie in *Drosophila* (Yki/YAP/TAZ), are transcriptional effectors of the Hippo (Hpo/MST1/2) kinase signaling cascade [14]. When this pathway is active, Hpo/MST1/2 kinases bind to the Sav/SAV1 adapter protein and phosphorylate Wts/LATS1/2 kinases to activate them. In turn, the Wts/LATS1/2 serine/threonine kinases phosphorylate Yki/YAP/TAZ and prevent them from entering the nucleus and activating transcription (Figure 1c). Inactivation of the Hippo pathway results in Yki/YAP/TAZ accumulation within the nucleus, where they bind to TEAD transcription factors to drive target gene expression and promote cell proliferation and survival (Figure 1c'). Mechanical change detected by FAs and AJs under actomyosin-generated contractility is a key force-sensing signaling mechanism that leads to activation of Yki/YAP/TAZ and transcriptional changes. Cell junctions serve as a site of assembly for Hippo pathway members, and loss of AJ components can lead to increased YAP nuclear localization in different contexts [14]. In contrast, activation of YAP at FAs involves focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and SRC activation phosphoinositide 3-kinase, leading to inhibition of LATS1/2 and nuclear accumulation of YAP [15]. Forces transmitted through FAs can also mechanically alter nuclear shapes and stretch nuclear pores to allow active nuclear YAP import [16]. Generally, the ability of Hippo signaling to measure junctional changes depends on actomyosin contractility and thereby provides a central pathway for translating physical information into biochemical information in the form of transcriptional changes.

In addition to the described mechanisms of force detection at cell junctions, dedicated mechanosensors can detect forces within a tissue. For example, PIEZO proteins are mechanically sensitive ion channels that are critical for mechanosensation in multiple contexts in development by sensing crowding forces to induce cell extrusion to control cell density [17], and to regulate stem cell proliferation and differentiation (Figure 1d, d') [18,19]. Although the mechanisms by which PIEZO transduces a biochemical signal are still under active investigation, it is clear that they can function through Ca^{2+} signaling [18] or by impacting YAP/TAZ function [19,20].

While mechanical forces can be detected and translated into biochemical signaling, it is also the case that biochemical signaling pathways that regulate morphogenesis have outcomes that generate forces. For example, Eph–ephrin signaling often regulates actomyosin contractility [21,22]; mitogenic signals such as WNT and SHH increase cell number, and chemoattractant pathways such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF) increase cellular aggregation, both of which lead





to increased cell density to generate compression forces. That these forces are transmitted throughout a tissue with both directional and magnitude information and detected by other cells suggests that these forces may be used as signal transducers downstream of biochemical signals. Here, we review recent discoveries that connect biochemical signaling with mechanical signaling, focusing particularly on those cases in which mechanical forces mediate biochemical signaling to regulate morphogenesis. These studies support the idea that force is not only detected during development but also actively used to transmit and convey biochemical signaling information in a manner that provides unique advantages.

Mechanical signals coordinate physical information with cellular differentiation and proliferation

Several recent articles have demonstrated that forces can signal to couple cell position within a tissue with cell fate specification, thereby coordinating physical information and cellular differentiation (Figure 2a). Although it is known that the stemness of epidermal progenitors can be manipulated by altering cell shape or ECM stiffness [23,24], how mechanical changes are used to enable specific cell fate decisions has remained unclear. Totaro et al. [25] recently demonstrated that cell shape and ECM rigidity regulates YAP/TAZ, which in turn regulates Notch signaling and downstream differentiation within the epidermis. In epidermal stem cells experiencing higher mechanical forces from either cytoskeletal or ECM rigidity, YAP nuclear localization inhibits Notch signaling, promoting epidermal stemness [25]. Conversely, low mechanical force inhibits YAP/TAZ, thus releasing Notch signaling, promoting differentiation [25]. Interestingly, YAP/TAZ increase expression of several Notch ligands, including DLL1 and DLL3, which stimulate Notch activity in the neighboring cells [25,26]. Importantly, these same ligands likely inhibit differentiation of basal cells through their cis-regulation, thus maintaining a layer of basal progenitors, and efficient differentiation of suprabasal cells [25,26].

Mechanical signals are integrated to coordinate boundary formation and cell differentiation during rhombomere formation in the developing hindbrain. Rhombomeres are developmentally transient blocks of neuroepithelial cells that give rise to distinct structures in the vertebrate hindbrain. Boundaries between rhombomeres are formed as a result of signaling between Eph receptor tyrosine kinases and their signaling partner, the ephrins; in zebrafish, alternating rhombomeres express EphA4 and ephrin-B3 such that EphA4/ephrin-B3 signaling only occurs at the rhombomere boundary [27–30]. Boundary cells express molecular markers that distinguish them from nonboundary cells,

Figure 2

Outcome	Developmental systems and examples	Advantage	Ref.
a) Coupling morphogenesis and differentiation	<p>Zebrafish Rhombomeres</p>  <p>Mouse Epidermis</p> 	Couple cell positioning and local micro-environment to cell fate decisions	22, 25, 36
b) Coupling tissue growth	<p>Zebrafish heart</p> 	Provide rapid responses to changing tissue functional demands	42
c) Controlling tissue viscoelasticity	<p>Mouse Mandibular Arch</p> 	Fine tuning of tissue properties to enable localized deformations and shape changes	43

Current Opinion in Cell Biology

Integration of mechanical and biochemical signaling during morphogenesis. Mechanical and biochemical signaling can be integrated to affect various morphogenetic outcomes including tissue growth and cellular differentiation. **(a)** In developing zebrafish rhombomeres, morphogenesis is coupled to cellular differentiation through Eph/–ephrin signaling–generated actomyosin contractility, which in turn activates Yap/Taz signaling in boundary cells. In addition, YAP/TAZ mechanotransduction inhibits Notch signaling in the developing mouse epidermis to maintain epidermal stemness in basal cells, while promoting differentiation of the suprabasal layer. **(b)** In the zebrafish heart, myocardial growth and endocardial growth are coupled through tension sensing via vascular endothelial cadherin (VE-cadherin) and Yap1 to regulate cell proliferation. **(c)** Mechanical and biochemical signaling can also be integrated to modulate tissue viscoelasticity as demonstrated in the developing mandibular arch, where WNT5a acts upstream of YAP and PIEZO1 to coordinate cellular polarity and force oscillations in the middle arch to diminish tissue rigidity, enabling cell intercalations. ECM, extracellular matrix.

provide proliferating progenitors, and organize spatially restricted neurogenesis within segments [31–33] and are involved in boundary straightening through the formation of actomyosin cable–like structures at rhombomeric boundaries [34]. Disruption of either actomyosin contractility or Eph/–ephrin signaling disrupts boundary sharpness [34,35]. Interestingly, increased tension from actomyosin contractility at rhombomere boundaries creates this positional information, which impacts boundary cell identity [22,36]. EphA4 loss of function results in reduced actomyosin contractility at rhombomere boundaries and a loss of boundary cells in EphA4-expressing rhombomere segments, indicating that EphA4 signaling generates positionally specific tension to simultaneously specify rhombomere separation and cell identity [22]. This increased tension at

rhombomere boundaries promotes Taz nuclear localization and downstream activation of boundary markers in EphA4-expressing boundary cells [22,36]. When Yap/Taz pathway components are disrupted, border cell marker expression is lost [22]. Yap also maintains proliferative capacity and progenitor potential in boundary cells, with neurogenesis coinciding with Yap down-regulation as daughter cells exit the boundary domain [36]. Together, these data elucidate a complete pathway linking boundary formation and maintenance through Eph/–ephrin signaling to downstream cell fate decisions by a mechanical signaling intermediary. Interestingly, as Notch pathway components are also expressed in boundary cells and have been shown to regulate neurogenesis in rhombomeres, it is intriguing to postulate that integration of mechanical signals and Notch

activation may similarly exist here as in the aforementioned example to preserve the progenitor state in boundary cells.

In many contexts, changes in force can be interpreted as morphogenetic signals to rapidly remodel and differentiate specialized cell types that further contribute to organ development and function (Figure 2b). Shear force due to blood flow is detected during outflow tract valve development in zebrafish, coupling the positions of highest shear force due to blood flow with positional specification of smooth muscle differentiation that results in valve morphogenesis [20]. In the regions of the outflow tract with the smallest diameter, where shear stress is highest, PIEZO mechanosensitive channels detect this shear force, resulting in spatially restricted expression of *Klf2* and Notch signaling within the valve endothelium and *Yap1* activation and differentiation of the underlying smooth muscle. In the atrioventricular heart valve, *Klf2a* expression and Notch signaling activity are also high in regions experiencing high blood flow [37,38], although the structure of this valve and the forces it experiences are somewhat different. Indeed, it is notable that *Klf2* expression and Notch signaling are commonly mechanosensitive to blood flow during development [39,40], supporting them as common nodes in pathways converting mechanical to biochemical signaling information in a spatially restricted manner.

Intraorgan communication is necessary to coordinate the growth and position of discrete, but interdependent, structures (Figure 2c). In zebrafish heart development, *Wnt8a* signaling is critical for promoting cardiomyocyte formation, and its overexpression results in increased atrial and decreased ventricle myocardial size [41]. Interestingly, this effect is mirrored by changes in the size of the underlying atrial and ventricular endocardium [42]. Expansion of the myocardium places endocardial cells under tension, which is sensed by junctional cadherin-5 (vascular endothelial cadherin; VE-cadherin), resulting in nuclear *Yap1* localization and increased proliferation of endocardial cells to compensate for myocardial overgrowth. These data reveal that tension generated by tissue growth can signal to the neighboring tissues, allowing the coordination of tissue-intrinsic growth rates.

Chemical signals modulate cell polarity, adhesion, and tissue deformability to signal mechanically

The emergence of coordinated collective cell behaviors requires the detection, coupling, and propagation of forces across groups of cells. Tissue rheology, or the way in which tissues mechanically react, arises from the contractility of the cells composing the tissue, the ECM, and the strength of the cell–cell contacts within a tissue. Viscoelasticity determines the deformability of the tissue and permissibility for cellular arrangement in response to inductive

signals. Modulation of these properties within a tissue allows for regulated deformation and shaping of a tissue.

Chemical signals can guide morphogenesis by tuning tissue mechanics and viscoelasticity through control of adhesion, cortical contractility, and associated cell polarity. This was recently demonstrated in the developing mouse pharyngeal arch, which is composed of a mesenchymal core surrounded by a single layer of epithelium and undergoes extensive outgrowth and shape changes throughout development. Tao et al. [43] demonstrated that, in the mesenchyme, *WNT5a* activates *Piezo1* to induce oscillations in cortical tension in the middle portion of the developing arch, resulting in reduced tissue viscoelasticity and increased cell intercalation to drive arch elongation. In *Wnt5a* mutant mice, the shape of the mandibular arch is disrupted, with diminished cortical oscillations and a decrease in oriented cell intercalation, suggesting that *WNT5a* coordinates mandibular cell behaviors through control of cell polarity and cytoskeleton tension [43]. This study therefore demonstrates a mechanism by which chemical signals impact tissue mechanics to enable proper morphogenesis.

Signaling by *WNT5a* through the ROR2 receptor is also critical during angiogenesis, wherein it coordinates endothelial cell behavior by activating *CDC42* and stabilizing vinculin at the AJ [44]. This results in mechanocoupling between endothelial cells and their collective polarization, which is necessary for their proper migration. Therefore, noncanonical WNT signaling tunes the sensitivity of endothelial cells to junctional force to modulate their behavior. Detection and sensitivity of cells to forces is often tuned by biochemical signaling pathways, thereby allowing these pathways to influence the cellular outcomes after experiencing a given force. As in heart development, shear force from blood flow is critical for vessel reorganization during angiogenesis, such that the direction and strength of flow dictates endothelial cell polarization and migration. Endothelial noncanonical WNT signaling is required for the detection of shear force and modulates sensitivity to this force to select which vessels undergo normal pruning [45]. Interestingly, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3 (*VEGFR3*) signaling also influences sensitivity of endothelial cells to shear stress from flow, indicating that in this context, multiple biochemical pathways converge to regulate sensitivity to a force-based signal [46]. Differences in *VEGFR3* levels may be a major determinant of differences in sensitivity to shear stress by vascular endothelial cells, compared with lymphatic endothelial cells, which have a higher sensitivity to shear stress, allowing detection of lower flow rates and therefore remodeling of these different vascular cell types at different force reception set points [46].


Mechanical modulation of chemical signaling by cell density and crowding forces

As morphogenesis progresses, changes in tissue shape and cell organization can concurrently reshape the spatial distribution of signaling molecules (Figure 3a). For instance, villus formation in the developing chick gut as a result of mechanical buckling of the endodermal epithelium distorts the SHH signaling gradient from the epithelium, concentrating the signal at the tip of each villus to activate high-threshold response genes in the mesenchyme that ultimately determine the location of intestinal stem cells [47]. This suggests that tissue mechanical forces can actively modulate the signaling pattern via emerging cellular organization. This idea is consistent with recent findings in developing chick feather buds, which arise in the midline of the dorsal skin as regularly spaced mesenchymal aggregates beneath epidermal placodes, with subsequent new buds formed laterally in a spatiotemporal manner. Feather bud development is initiated as a result of MyoII-dependent mesenchymal contraction that amplifies randomly formed small cell clusters into larger aggregates [48]. Condensed mesenchyme in turn compresses

the overlying epithelium and mechanically induces nuclear accumulation of β -catenin to initiate the follicle genetic program [48,49]. This mesenchymal contraction also concentrates and upregulates local FGF20 signaling from the epithelium to further promote mesenchymal condensation [50,51]. Simultaneously, condensed mesenchyme begins to express BMP4, which diffuses and inhibits epithelial *Fgf20* expression neighboring the condensate [50]. Tissue mechanical forces thus help shape the FGF20 and BMP4 expression pattern with altering the peaks and troughs of FGF20 and BMP4 signaling activities, which function as the activator and inhibitor, respectively, in a Turing reaction–diffusion system [52], to establish the formation of feather buds repetitively at a regular interval.

Formation of repetitive structures can also be achieved through molecular oscillators, such as in the vertebrate presomitic mesoderm. In this model, cyclic activation of Notch and WNT pathways and the corresponding signaling responsive genes generate periodic traveling waves of signaling activation and instruct the formation of segmented structures called somites [53]. Interestingly, when presomitic mesoderm cells are dissociated

Figure 3



Mechanical modulation of chemical signaling. Tissue mechanical forces can modulate the gradient and pattern of biochemical signaling. (a) In the chick feather buds, condensing mesenchyme contracts the overlying epithelium to concentrate local FGF20 and at the same time secretes BMP4 that diffuses and inhibits the neighboring FGF20 expression, resulting in a Turing-like pattern. In the chick presomitic mesoderm (PSM), YAP integrates mechanical information from the substrate and cell density to transform signaling pulses into oscillations and waves. (b) Tissue forces can also function as a second messenger downstream of a biochemical source to relay its instructive signal across space. For instance, in the zebrafish tail bud, the anterior-to-posterior cell flow is modulated by mechanical signals transmitted from cell to cell and thus beyond the range of BMP signaling in the tail organizer. Similarly, during *Drosophila* endoderm invagination, although the initial MyoII activation is initiated by Fog signaling, the subsequent traveling wave of MyoII activation and apical contraction is independent from Fog signaling and is induced by cyclic forward pushing of buckling cells and apical spreading of edge cells along the vitelline membrane (VM). FGF, fibroblast growth factor; ECM, extracellular matrix; MyoII, myosin II.

and scrambled in primary cell culture, they continue to oscillate and produce waves of Notch signaling [54]. However, this phenomenon is only maintained when the cell density is higher than a certain threshold. The system exhibits a quorum sensing behavior involving YAP, which functions as a checkpoint to only allow full Notch signaling when a certain cell crowding threshold is reached. Intriguingly, quorum sensing via YAP can be modulated by cell shapes and actin-dependent mechanical forces, raising the possibility that signaling oscillation during somite formation is regulated by mechanical inputs associated with changes in crowding force [54]. It will be interesting to determine if such an excitable density detection system similarly functions in other developmental contexts involving cell condensation, such as in the aforementioned feather bud example, to govern local activation of specific signaling cascades and generation of signaling waves.

Mechanical force as a long-range intermediary signal to regulate morphogenesis

While paracrine signaling is only effective over a relatively short distance of 50–100 μm (spanning 5–10 cells) owing to rapid signal dilution and decay in its intensity [55–57], mechanical forces can be directionally transmitted over a longer distance and function as a long-range morphogenetic signal downstream of a localized biochemical stimulus (Figure 3b). One example demonstrating mechanical signaling over distance is the regulation of zebrafish body elongation by the tail organizer [58]. BMP signaling from the tail organizer is postulated to promote an ordered anterior-to-posterior cell flow in the tail bud that contributes to body elongation [59,60]. When BMP signaling is perturbed, a cell-to-cell relay of disturbed cell motion in the tail bud results in a mechanical transmission of cellular jamming that travels posteroanteriorly, resulting in disorganized cell motion outside the BMP signaling range [58]. This hints at a mechanism whereby signaling ligands may induce directional movement of cells outside the signaling range by propagating mechanical signals through the neighboring cells.

How then do cells propagate mechanical signals over distance without dampening force transmission? A recent article addressed this question by studying *Drosophila* endoderm morphogenesis, a MyoII-dependent process involving invagination of endoderm primordium moving posteroanteriorly [61]. Importantly, while Rho1/MyoII activation is initiated by secreted Fog/GPCR signaling, a wave of MyoII actomyosin contractility continually propagates endoderm invagination anteriorly along the dorsal epithelium without Fog signal propagation. As MyoII can be activated in response to mechanical stimuli, such as increased cellular tension [62–64], cellular forces associated with

epithelial buckling trigger apical spreading in unbuckled cells at the anterior edge of the furrow and activate MyoII in these cells and their subsequent buckling, thus cyclically amplifying the traveling mechanical wave. Interestingly, sequential activation of MyoII is also observed in other developmental contexts, such as the mechanical interaction between the invaginating endoderm and extending germ band in *Drosophila* and the zipper process during neural tube closure in *Ciona intestinalis* [65,66], all suggesting that mechanical forces can act as a long-range signal and as a second messenger to regulate morphogenesis at a distance. Future work will determine whether such mechanisms can also control other cell behaviors, such as differentiation, proliferation, and polarity in this and other developmental processes.

Conclusions and perspectives

Cells may have evolved to actively use force as an extracellular second messenger to transduce information between cells with several advantages. The recent studies that we describe above here give insight into this idea and present explanations of the advantages that might be achieved by using mechanical signals: these signals can coordinate growth of organs, specify cell fate with respect to tissue architecture, modulate chemical signals, and act over longer distances than biochemical signals. Importantly, mechanical force is a multiparameter signal, whereas a biochemical signal detected at a single point has only a magnitude value; mechanical force is a vector quantity, encoding both magnitude and directional information. This property makes mechanical forces particularly compelling for providing information to organize directed cell behaviors such as cell polarity and cell migration. Multiple biochemical signals may therefore converge to collate and convert information from multiple cellular inputs into a mechanical force signal that can be transmitted in a coordinated fashion. As mechanical signals have been historically challenging to observe, increasingly integrating techniques, such as atomic force microscopy and laser ablation, with genetic and biochemical approaches as well as new techniques, such as the application of oil droplets or magnetic beads to measure and apply forces, will be transformative in further understanding the interplay between mechanical and biochemical signals during development [67,68].

Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

Acknowledgements

This is a broad and active area of investigation, and the authors apologize to those colleagues whose work they could not discuss owing to space limitations. AK was supported by F31DE028175 from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIH/NIDCR). JH was supported by R00DE025874 from the NIH/NIDCR. JOB was supported by R01DE023337 and R01DE025877 from the NIH/

NIDCR and R01HL144785 from the National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NIH/NHLBI).

References

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

* of special interest

** of outstanding interest

1. Heisenberg C-P, Bellaïche Y: **Forces in tissue morphogenesis and patterning.** *Cell* 2013, **153**:948–962.
2. Vicente-Manzanares M, Ma X, Adelstein RS, Horwitz AR: **Non-muscle myosin II takes centre stage in cell adhesion and migration.** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2009, **10**:778–790.
3. Harris TJC, Tepass U: **Adherens junctions: from molecules to morphogenesis.** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2010, **11**:502–514.
4. Kale GR, Yang X, Philippe J-M, Mani M, Lenne P-F, Lecuit T: **Distinct contributions of tensile and shear stress on E-cadherin levels during morphogenesis.** *Nat Commun* 2018, **9**: 1–16.
5. Ladoux B, Nelson WJ, Yan J, Mège RM: **The mechano-transduction machinery at work at adherens junctions.** *Int Bio* 2015, **7**:1109–1119 (Cam).
6. Takeda M, Sami MM, Wang Y-C: **A homeostatic apical microtubule network shortens cells for epithelial folding via a basal polarity shift.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2018, **20**:36–45.
7. Singh A, Saha T, Begemann I, Ricker A, Nüsse H, Thorn-Seshold O, Klingauf J, Galic M, Matis M: **Polarized microtubule dynamics directs cell mechanics and coordinates forces during epithelial morphogenesis.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2018, **20**: 1126–1133.
8. Matis M, Russler-Germain DA, Hu Q, Tomlin CJ, Axelrod JD: **Microtubules provide directional information for core PCP function.** *eLife* 2014, **3**. e02893.
9. Dzamba BJ, DeSimone DW: **Extracellular matrix (ECM) and the sculpting of embryonic tissues.** *Curr Top Dev Biol* 2018, **130**: 245–274.
10. Bachir AI, Horwitz AR, Nelson WJ, Bianchini JM: **Actin-based adhesion modules mediate cell interactions with the extracellular matrix and neighboring cells.** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2017, **9**.
11. del Rio A, Perez-Jimenez R, Liu R, Roca-Cusachs P, Fernandez JM, Sheetz MP: **Stretching single talin rod molecules activates vinculin binding.** *Science* 2009, **323**:638–641.
12. Muncie JM, Weaver VM: **The physical and biochemical properties of the extracellular matrix regulate cell fate.** *Curr Top Dev Biol* 2018, **130**:1–37.
13. Lane MC, Koehl MA, Wilt F, Keller R: **A role for regulated secretion of apical extracellular matrix during epithelial invagination in the sea urchin.** *Development* 1993, **117**: 1049–1060.
14. Karaman R, Halder G: **Cell junctions in Hippo signaling.** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2018, **10**. a028753.
15. Kim N-G, Gumbiner BM: **Adhesion to fibronectin regulates Hippo signaling via the FAK-Src-PI3K pathway.** *J Cell Biol* 2015, **210**:503–515.
16. Elosegui-Artola A, Andreu I, Beedle AEM, Lezamiz A, Uroz M, Kosmalska AJ, Oriá R, Kechagia JZ, Rico-Lastres P, Le Roux A-L, et al.: **Force triggers YAP nuclear entry by regulating transport across nuclear pores.** *Cell* 2017, **171**:1397–1410. e14.
17. Eisenhoffer GT, Loftus PD, Yoshigi M, Otsuna H, Chien C-B, Morcos PA, Rosenblatt J: **Crowding induces live cell extrusion to maintain homeostatic cell numbers in epithelia.** *Nature* 2012, **484**:546–549.
18. He L, Si G, Huang J, Samuel ADT, Perrimon N: **Mechanical regulation of stem-cell differentiation by the stretch-activated Piezo channel.** *Nature* 2018, **555**:103–106.
19. Pathak MM, Nourse JL, Tran T, Hwe J, Arulmoli J, Le DTT, Bernardis E, Flanagan LA, Tombola F: **Stretch-activated ion channel Piezo1 directs lineage choice in human neural stem cells.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014, **111**:16148–16153.
20. Duchemin A-L, Vignes H, Vermot J: **Mechanically activated piezo channels modulate outflow tract valve development through the Yap1 and Klf2-Notch signaling axis.** *Elife* 2019, **8**.
 This study of zebrafish outflow tract valve development demonstrates that mechanosensitive pathways are observed at sites of high shear stress from blood flow. This shear force is detected by Piezo and Trp mechanosensitive channels which are critical for the proper differentiation of both endocardial and smooth muscle cell types in the OFT valve, and for valve morphogenesis. Together these data provide a new understanding of how heart valve morphogenesis is specified by mechanical forces.
21. O'Neill AK, Kindberg AA, Niethamer TK, Larson AR, Ho H-YH, Greenberg ME, Bush JO: **Unidirectional Eph/ephrin signaling creates a cortical actomyosin differential to drive cell segregation.** *J Cell Biol* 2016, **215**:217–229.
22. Cayuso J, Xu Q, Addison M, Wilkinson DG: **Actomyosin regulation by Eph receptor signaling couples boundary cell formation to border sharpness.** *Elife* 2019, **8**.
 This paper identifies a signaling cascade in which Yap/TAZ respond to actomyosin contractility generated at EphrinB3-EphA4 boundaries in the zebrafish hindbrain rhombomere boundaries to impact cell proliferation and differentiation
23. Connelly JT, Gautrot JE, Trappmann B, Tan DW-M, Donati G, Huck WTS, Watt FM: **Actin and serum response factor transduce physical cues from the microenvironment to regulate epidermal stem cell fate decisions.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2010, **12**: 711–718.
24. Trappmann B, Gautrot JE, Connelly JT, Strange DGT, Li Y, Oyen ML, Cohen Stuart MA, Boehm H, Li B, Vogel V, et al.: **Extracellular-matrix tethering regulates stem-cell fate.** *Nat Mater* 2012, **11**:642–649.
25. Totaro A, Castellan M, Battilana G, Zanconato F, Azzolin L, Giullitti S, Cordenonsi M, Piccolo S: **YAP/TAZ link cell mechanics to Notch signalling to control epidermal stem cell fate.** *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:15206.
 This paper identifies the integration of the YAP/TAZ mechano-transduction pathway with Notch signaling regulation to coordinate cell–cell communication, epidermal stemness, and differentiation.
26. Lowell S, Jones P, Le Roux I, Dunne J, Watt FM: **Stimulation of human epidermal differentiation by Delta–Notch signalling at the boundaries of stem-cell clusters.** *Curr Biol* 2000, **10**: 491–500.
27. Becker N, Seitanidou T, Murphy P, Mattéi M-G, Topilko P, Nieto MA, Wilkinson DG, Charnay P, Gilardi-Hebenstreit P: **Several receptor tyrosine kinase genes of the Eph family are segmentally expressed in the developing hindbrain.** *Mech Dev* 1994, **47**:3–17.
28. Bergemann AD, Cheng HJ, Brambilla R, Klein R, Flanagan JG: **ELF-2, a new member of the Eph ligand family, is segmentally expressed in mouse embryos in the region of the hindbrain and newly forming somites.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1995, **15**:4921–4929.
29. Cooke J, Moens C, Roth L, Durbin L, Shiomi K, Brennan C, Kimmel C, Wilson S, Holder N: **Eph signalling functions downstream of Val to regulate cell sorting and boundary formation in the caudal hindbrain.** *Development* 2001, **128**: 571–580.
30. Xu Q, Mellitzer G, Robinson V, Wilkinson DG: **In vivo cell sorting in complementary segmental domains mediated by Eph receptors and ephrins.** *Nature* 1999, **399**:267–271.
31. Gonzalez-Quevedo R, Lee Y, Poss KD, Wilkinson DG: **Neuronal regulation of the spatial patterning of neurogenesis.** *Dev Cell* 2010, **18**:136.
32. Peretz Y, Eren N, Kohl A, Hen G, Yaniv K, Weisinger K, Cinnamon Y, Sela-Donenfeld D: **A new role of hindbrain boundaries as pools of neural stem/progenitor cells regulated by Sox2.** *BMC Biol* 2016, **14**:57.
33. Terriente J, Gerety SS, Watanabe-Asaka T, Gonzalez-Quevedo R, Wilkinson DG: **Signalling from hindbrain**

- boundaries regulates neuronal clustering that patterns neurogenesis.** *Development* 2012, **139**:2978–2987.
34. Calzolari S, Terriente J, Pujades C: **Cell segregation in the vertebrate hindbrain relies on actomyosin cables located at the interhombomeric boundaries.** *EMBO J* 2014, **33**:686–701.
35. Cooke JE, Kemp HA, Moens CB: **EphA4 is required for cell adhesion and rhombomere-boundary formation in the zebrafish.** *Curr Biol* 2005, **15**:536–542.
36. Voltes A, Hevia CF, Engel-Pizcueta C, Dingare C, Calzolari S, Terriente J, Norden C, Lecaudey V, Pujades C: **Yap/Taz-TEAD activity links mechanical cues to progenitor cell behavior during zebrafish hindbrain segmentation.** *Development* 2019: 146.
- This study describes how Yap/Taz-TEAD activity links mechanical cues to progenitor cell proliferation and behavior during zebrafish hindbrain segmentation.
37. Pestel J, Ramadass R, Gauvrit S, Helker C, Herzog W, Stainier DYR: **Real-time 3D visualization of cellular rearrangements during cardiac valve formation.** *Development* 2016, **143**:2217–2227.
38. Steed E, Faggianelli N, Roth S, Ramspacher C, Concordet J-P, Vermont J: **klf2a couples mechanotransduction and zebrafish valve morphogenesis through fibronectin synthesis.** *Nat Commun* 2016, **7**:11646.
39. Lee JS, Yu Q, Shin JT, Sebзда E, Bertozzi C, Chen M, Mericko P, Stadtfeld M, Zhou D, Cheng L, et al.: **Klf2 is an essential regulator of vascular hemodynamic forces in vivo.** *Dev Cell* 2006, **11**:845–857.
40. Groenendijk BCW, Hierck BP, Gittenberger-De Groot AC, Poelmann RE: **Development-related changes in the expression of shear stress responsive genes KLF-2, ET-1, and NOS-3 in the developing cardiovascular system of chicken embryos.** *Dev Dyn* 2004, **230**:57–68.
41. Dohn TE, Waxman JS: **Distinct phases of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling direct cardiomyocyte formation in zebrafish.** *Dev Biol* 2012, **361**:364–376.
42. Bornhorst D, Xia P, Nakajima H, Dingare C, Herzog W, Lecaudey V, Mochizuki N, Heisenberg C-P, Yelon D, Abdellah-Seyfried S: **Biomechanical signaling within the developing zebrafish heart attunes endocardial growth to myocardial chamber dimensions.** *Nat Commun* 2019, **10**:1–10.
- By utilizing zebrafish genetics, together with measures of junctional tension and cellular density and proliferation in the developing heart, the authors demonstrate that myocardial growth is coupled with endocardial growth through a pathway of tension-sensation that involves VE-cadherin and Yap1 regulation of cell proliferation. These data indicate that mechanical forces may be coupled between organs or tissues to ensure coordinated growth during development.
43. Tao H, Zhu M, Lau K, Whitley OKW, Samani M, Xiao X, Chen XX, Hahn NA, Liu W, Valencia M, et al.: **Oscillatory cortical forces promote three dimensional cell intercalations that shape the murine mandibular arch.** *Nat Commun* 2019, **10**:1703.
- This study demonstrates how Wnt5a acts upstream of YAP and Piezo1 to coordinate cell polarity and cortical force oscillations to diminish tissue rigidity and enable cell intercalation.
44. Carvalho JR, Fortunato IC, Fonseca CG, Pezzarossa A, Barbacena P, Dominguez-Cejudo MA, Vasconcelos FF, Santos NC, Carvalho FA, Franco CA: **Non-canonical Wnt signaling regulates junctional mechanocoupling during angiogenic collective cell migration.** *Elife* 2019, **8**.
45. Franco CA, Jones ML, Bernabeu MO, Vion A-C, Barbacena P, Fan J, Mathivet T, Fonseca CG, Ragab A, Yamaguchi TP, et al.: **Non-canonical Wnt signalling modulates the endothelial shear stress flow sensor in vascular remodelling.** *Elife* 2016, **5**:e07727.
46. Baeyens N, Nicoli S, Coon BG, Ross TD, Van den Dries K, Han J, Lauridsen HM, Mejean CO, Eichmann A, Thomas J-L, et al.: **Vascular remodeling is governed by a VEGFR3-dependent fluid shear stress set point.** *Elife* 2015, **4**.
47. Shyer AE, Huycke TR, Lee C, Mahadevan L, Tabin CJ: **Bending gradients: how the intestinal stem cell gets its home.** *Cell* 2015, **161**:569–580.
48. Shyer AE, Rodrigues AR, Schroeder GG, Kassianidou E, Kumar S, Harland RM: **Emergent cellular self-organization and mechanosensation initiate follicle pattern in the avian skin.** *Science* 2017, **357**:811–815.
49. Huelsken J, Vogel R, Erdmann B, Cotsarelis G, Birchmeier W: **beta-Catenin controls hair follicle morphogenesis and stem cell differentiation in the skin.** *Cell* 2001, **105**:533–545.
50. Ho WKW, Freem L, Zhao D, Painter KJ, Woolley TE, Gaffney EA, McGrew MJ, Tzika A, Milinkovitch MC, Schneider P, et al.: **Feather arrays are patterned by interacting signalling and cell density waves.** *PLoS Biol* 2019, **17**:e3000132.
- Initiated by mesenchymal condensation, tissue contraction in chick feather primordium shapes the expression pattern of epithelial FGF20 and mesenchymal BMP4, which act as the activator and inhibitor respectively to generate Turing-like repetitive developmental patterns.
51. Jung HS, Francis-West PH, Widelitz RB, Jiang TX, Ting-Bereth S, Tickle C, Wolpert L, Chuong CM: **Local inhibitory action of BMPs and their relationships with activators in feather formation: implications for periodic patterning.** *Dev Biol* 1998, **196**:11–23.
52. Turing AM: **The chemical basis of morphogenesis.** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci* 1952, **237**:37–72.
53. Hubaud A, Pourquié O: **Signalling dynamics in vertebrate segmentation.** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2014, **15**:709–721.
54. Hubaud A, Regev I, Mahadevan L, Pourquié O: **Excitable dynamics and yap-dependent mechanical cues drive the segmentation clock.** *Cell* 2017, **171**:668–682. e11.
- In a presomitic mesoderm culture model, it was demonstrated that biochemical signaling oscillation is a collective cell behavior. Oscillation is triggered by a quorum sensing mechanism involving YAP and Notch and modulated by actin-dependent mechanical signals conveyed through cell shapes and substrate types.
55. Lee Y, McIntire LV, Zygourakis K: **Analysis of endothelial cell locomotion: differential effects of motility and contact inhibition.** *Biotechnol Bioeng* 1994, **43**:622–634.
56. Francis K, Palsson BO: **Effective intercellular communication distances are determined by the relative time constants for cyto/chemokine secretion and diffusion.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997, **94**:12258–12262.
57. Handly LN, Pilko A, Wollman R: **Paracrine communication maximizes cellular response fidelity in wound signaling.** *eLife* [date unknown], **4**.
58. Das D, Jülich D, Schwendinger-Schreck J, Guillon E, Lawton AK, Dray N, Emonet T, O'Hern CS, Shattuck MD, Holley SA: **Organization of embryonic morphogenesis via mechanical information.** *Dev Cell* 2019, **49**:829–839. e5.
- Perturbation of BMP4 signaling in the zebrafish tailbud results in deregulated cell movement in regions beyond the BMP4 signaling range and combined imaging and in silico analyses suggest that the tail organizer integrates mechanical information relayed through the elongating tissue to regulate the coherence of posterior cell flow.
59. Lawton AK, Nandi A, Stulberg MJ, Dray N, Sneddon MW, Pontius W, Emonet T, Holley SA: **Regulated tissue fluidity steers zebrafish body elongation.** *Development* 2013, **140**:573–582.
60. Mongera A, Rowghanian P, Gustafson HJ, Shelton E, Kealhofer DA, Carn EK, Serwane F, Lucio AA, Giammona J, Campàs O: **A fluid-to-solid jamming transition underlies vertebrate body axis elongation.** *Nature* 2018, **561**:401–405.
61. Bailles A, Collinet C, Philippe J-M, Lenne P-F, Munro E, Lecuit T: **Genetic induction and mechanochemical propagation of a morphogenetic wave.** *Nature* 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1492-9>.
- Invagination of *Drosophila* endoderm epithelium is initiated by Fog signaling and this is followed by a tissue-scale wave of Rho1/MyoII activation and epithelial invagination that is independent of sustained gene transcription and Fog signaling.
62. Heissler SM, Sellers JR: **Kinetic adaptations of myosins for their diverse cellular functions.** *Traffic* 2016, **17**:839–859.
63. Fernandez-Gonzalez R, de Matos Simoes S, Röper J-C, Eaton S, Zallen JA: **Myosin II dynamics are regulated by tension in intercalating cells.** *Dev Cell* 2009, **17**:736–743.

64. Mitrossilis D, Röper J-C, Le Roy D, Driquez B, Michel A, Ménager C, Shaw G, Le Denmat S, Ranno L, Dumas-Bouchiat F, et al.: **Mechanotransductive cascade of Myo-II-dependent mesoderm and endoderm invaginations in embryo gastrulation.** *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:13883.
65. Lye CM, Blanchard GB, Naylor HW, Muresan L, Huisken J, Adams RJ, Sanson B: **Mechanical coupling between endoderm invagination and Axis extension in Drosophila.** *PLoS Biol* 2015, **13**. e1002292.
66. Hashimoto H, Robin FB, Sherrard KM, Munro EM: **Sequential contraction and exchange of apical junctions drives zippering and neural tube closure in a simple chordate.** *Dev Cell* 2015, **32**:241–255.
67. Campàs O, Mammoto T, Hasso S, Sperling RA, O'Connell D, Bischof AG, Maas R, Weitz DA, Mahadevan L, Ingber DE: **Quantifying cell-generated mechanical forces within living embryonic tissues.** *Nat Methods* 2014, **11**:183–189.
68. Zhu M, Tao H, Samani M, Luo M, Wang X, Hopyan S, Sun Y: **Spatial mapping of tissue properties in vivo reveals a 3D stiffness gradient in the mouse limb bud.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci Unit States Am* 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1912656117>.